Albany Floodplain Project Meeting #4 March 31st, 2011 Albany City Hall

Meeting Participants: Tara Davis (CWC), Troy Brandt (RDG), Bud Baumgartner (CWC), Kim Kagelaris (Albany), Ed Hodney (Albany), Peter Kenagy (Landowner), Mark Azevedo (FETL), Kathy Cook (FETL), Annette Higinbotham (FETL), Karen Hans (ODFW)

Presentation: Troy Brandt with River Design Group provided the group with a presentation that addressed the progression of the assessment and the preferred ranking system of opportunities in all systems. Download the pdf of the presentation from the following website:

http://www.calapooia.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Albany_Floodplain_Presentation_3_31_11.pdf

Comments about prioritization:

- Invasive species should be high priority and combined with improved long term shading and riparian planting
- Conservation easements lower priority because landowner education and stewardship will foster greater community ownership of conservation and restoration work
- Ivy was a major concern for all tributary systems and Willamette for our group
- Two week extension on review of tables and maps! Please comment on prioritization scheme, including restoration goals and objectives for each system. RDG will prioritize the individual actions at a site level.

Urban Projects:

- City of Albany stormwater investigation, led by Chris Gollan, engineering looking at BMP's; we should use fold projects like this stormwater analysis into city code
- Upper Periwinkle Creek, Mennonite Village and high school should be brought on board; conversations with Grand Prairie District should be had as well.
- We are dealing with the "end of the streams", so our objectives need to be realistic with respect to significant impact- so we should move upstream to do residential education to increase stewardship. What would it take for folks to mitigate the problem? Raingarden, bioswales, xeroscaping education.
- TMDL requirements are holding Albany's feet to the fire: address 1-stormwater, 2- riparian shade, and 3-treatment plant and wetlands.
- Outreach to upstream UGB landowners in these tribs is very important to our group, but we need a strategy.
- Convince citizens that there is a cumulative impact; how to convey this to citizens?
- People aren't necessarily attached to these impoundments in these parks and creeks, ex. park on Periwinkle- it is only aesthetic. Group had the idea to communicate with local neighborhoods about advantages or removing ponds and creating nice meandering, vegetated channels.
- ODFW staff explained that Burkhart Creek has some good habitat with gravels and good substrate up to I5- although there's concrete and shopping carts; Burkhart ties into 2nd oxbow lake; railroad to the lake great habitat; fish passage being resolved by railroad for Burkhart.

- ODFW staff explained that I5 crossings for all of these small streams will be fixed- right now it's a big fish barrier.
- All railroad crossings for small streams are unknown fish passage sites.

Stakeholder Meetings Discussion:

- Council should move forward with facilitation of meetings
- The team will come up with a name at the next meeting, winner gets a beer from Karen at Calapooia Brewery!
- City lacks the natural resource staff to move restoration/conservation forward, so CWC/stakeholder support necessary
- Set up a quarterly agenda built around group members' progress, project needs, and funding opportunities using the assessment as the guiding action plan
- CWC will meet with Ed, Mark and Diane to discuss next steps for project development on City land
- Include the following stakeholders to future meetings:
 - Wah Chang to the table, the Environmental Services Manager
 - County representation, someone who has the ear of the Commissioners, Jeff Powers (Benton, head of Parks)
 - County planner or road department
 - o Grand Prairie Water District (side discussions if not in attendance at meetings)
 - o ADP- community lesion
 - o Mennonite Village
 - School representation

Grant Application Updates:

- ETLNA: Dusting off the acquisition application (OWEB and OPRD); connectivity and price tag
 were the biggest concerns for the OWEB review team; ODFW grant submitted for turtle
 study- 24 total properties including orchard 80 acres interested in allowing the turtle
 assessment; orchard owner interested in conservation discussions
- CWC: An application to River Network was submitted to support 1-outreach/project development staff time, 2-continued youth and community watershed education programs and awareness events, 3-develop projects with landowners with technical support from the consultants